


Learning Analytics for Smart Campus: Data on Academic Performances of Engineering Undergraduates in a Nigerian Private University

Segun I. Popoola, Aderemi A. Atayero, Joke A. Badejo, Temitope M. John, Jonathan A. Odukoya, David O. Omole



PII: S2352-3409(17)30754-0  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.12.059>  
Reference: DIB2057

To appear in: *Data in Brief*

Received date: 12 December 2017

Accepted date: 28 December 2017

Cite this article as: Segun I. Popoola, Aderemi A. Atayero, Joke A. Badejo, Temitope M. John, Jonathan A. Odukoya and David O. Omole, Learning Analytics for Smart Campus: Data on Academic Performances of Engineering Undergraduates in a Nigerian Private University, *Data in Brief*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.12.059>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

*Data Article*

# Learning Analytics for Smart Campus: Data on Academic Performances of Engineering Undergraduates in a Nigerian Private University

Segun I. Popoola<sup>a,\*</sup>, Aderemi A. Atayero<sup>a</sup>, Joke A. Badejo<sup>a</sup>, Temitope M. John<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan A. Odukoya<sup>b</sup>, David O. Omole<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

<sup>b</sup> Department of Psychology, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

<sup>c</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author: segunpopoola15@gmail.com, segun.popoola@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

**Abstract**

Empirical measurement, monitoring, analysis, and reporting of learning outcomes in higher institutions of developing countries may lead to sustainable education in the region. In this data article, data about the academic performances of undergraduates that studied engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria are presented and analyzed. A total population sample of 1841 undergraduates that studied Chemical Engineering (CHE), Civil Engineering (CVE), Computer Engineering (CEN), Electrical and Electronics Engineering (EEE), Information and Communication Engineering (ICE), Mechanical Engineering (MEE), and Petroleum Engineering (PET) within the year range of 2002-2014 are randomly selected. For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and Academic Affairs. In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the academic performance data are presented in tables and graphs for easy data interpretations. In addition, one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparison post-hoc tests are performed to determine whether the variations in the academic performances are significant across the seven engineering programs. The data provided in this article will assist the global educational research community and regional policy makers to understand and optimize the learning environment towards the realization of smart campuses and sustainable education.

**Keywords:** smart campus, learning analytics, sustainable education, Nigerian university, education data mining, engineering

**Specifications Table**

|                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Subject area               | <i>Engineering Education</i>  |
| More specific subject area | <i>Learning Analytics</i>   |
| Type of data               | <i>Tables, graphs, figures, and spreadsheet file</i>  |
| How data was acquired      | <i>For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and Academic Affairs.</i>                                   |
| Data format                | <i>Raw, analyzed</i>  |
| Experimental factors       | <i>Undergraduates with incomplete academic records were excluded</i>  |
| Experimental features      | <i>Descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison post-hoc tests were performed to determine whether the variations in the academic performances are significant across the seven engineering programs.</i> |
| Data source location       | <i>The population sample and the academic performance data provided in this article were obtained at Covenant University, Canaanland, Ota, Nigeria (Latitude 6.6718° N, Longitude 3.1581° E)</i>  |
| Data accessibility         | <i>In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article.</i>   |

**Value of the data**

- Comprehensive academic performance datasets provided in this article will promote evidence-based research in the emerging field of learning analytics in developing countries [1-4].
- Easy access to this data will assist the global educational research community and regional policy makers to understand and optimize the learning environment towards the realization of smart campuses and sustainable education [5-10].
- With the growing adoption of machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques in different fields, empirical data provided in this article will help in the development of predictive models for learning outcomes in engineering undergraduates [11-18].
- Descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison post-hoc tests that are presented in tables, plots, and graphs will make data interpretation much easier for useful insights and logical conclusions.
- Detailed datasets that are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article will encourage further explorative studies in this field of research.

**Data**

The emerging field of learning analytics may be exploited to improve learning outcomes of engineering undergraduates in higher institutions of developing countries towards attaining sustainable education in the region [19-21]. Useful information about the academic performances of undergraduates that studied engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria are presented and analyzed in this data article. Covenant University is located in Ota, Ogun State in Nigeria (Latitude 6.6718° N, Longitude 3.1581° E). It is a private Christian university affiliated with Living Faith Church Worldwide and a member

of the Association of Commonwealth Universities (ACU), Association of African Universities (AAU), and National Universities Commission (NUC).

A total population sample of 1841 undergraduates that studied Chemical Engineering (CHE), Civil Engineering (CVE), Computer Engineering (CEN), Electrical and Electronics Engineering (EEE), Information and Communication Engineering (ICE), Mechanical Engineering (MEE), and Petroleum Engineering (PET) within the year range of 2002-2014 are randomly selected. The earliest year of entry and the latest year of graduation are 2002 and 2014 respectively. Having excluded undergraduates with incomplete academic records, 198, 152, 374, 407, 349, 166, 195 undergraduates were pooled from CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET respectively. The descriptive statistics of the academic performances of undergraduates in each of the seven engineering programs at Covenant University are presented in Tables 1-7.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in CHE

|                    | <b>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Cumulative<br/>GPA</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 4.02                          | 3.49                           | 3.52                          | 3.77                           | 3.79                          | 3.70                      |
| Median             | 4.11                          | 3.53                           | 3.55                          | 3.88                           | 3.90                          | 3.78                      |
| Mode               | 4.15                          | 2.74                           | 3.13                          | 4.06                           | 4.43                          | 3.73                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.57                          | 0.69                           | 0.77                          | 0.79                           | 0.67                          | 0.61                      |
| Variance           | 0.32                          | 0.48                           | 0.59                          | 0.63                           | 0.45                          | 0.37                      |
| Kurtosis           | 4.07                          | 2.69                           | 2.40                          | 2.70                           | 3.45                          | 2.39                      |
| Skewness           | -0.97                         | -0.34                          | -0.33                         | -0.64                          | -0.85                         | -0.36                     |
| Range              | 2.82                          | 3.24                           | 3.47                          | 3.42                           | 3.41                          | 2.70                      |
| Minimum            | 2.09                          | 1.54                           | 1.47                          | 1.55                           | 1.59                          | 2.16                      |
| Maximum            | 4.91                          | 4.78                           | 4.94                          | 4.97                           | 5.00                          | 4.86                      |
| Total Samples      | 198                           | 198                            | 198                           | 198                            | 198                           | 198                       |

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in CVE

|                    | <b>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</b> | <b>Cumulative<br/>GPA</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 3.67                          | 3.13                           | 3.33                          | 3.78                           | 3.91                          | 3.54                      |
| Median             | 3.70                          | 3.09                           | 3.38                          | 3.92                           | 4.01                          | 3.60                      |
| Mode               | 4.02                          | 3.14                           | 2.76                          | 4.17                           | 4.89                          | 3.76                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.60                          | 0.69                           | 0.85                          | 0.74                           | 0.71                          | 0.65                      |
| Variance           | 0.36                          | 0.47                           | 0.72                          | 0.54                           | 0.50                          | 0.42                      |
| Kurtosis           | 3.48                          | 2.55                           | 2.28                          | 2.24                           | 2.60                          | 2.27                      |
| Skewness           | -0.47                         | 0.25                           | -0.15                         | -0.42                          | -0.57                         | -0.06                     |
| Range              | 3.36                          | 3.22                           | 3.94                          | 3.03                           | 3.15                          | 2.96                      |
| Minimum            | 1.60                          | 1.70                           | 0.99                          | 1.94                           | 1.83                          | 1.97                      |
| Maximum            | 4.96                          | 4.92                           | 4.93                          | 4.97                           | 4.98                          | 4.93                      |
| Total Samples      | 152                           | 152                            | 152                           | 152                            | 152                           | 152                       |

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in CEN

|                    | <i>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Cumulative<br/>GPA</i> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 3.61                          | 3.23                           | 3.38                          | 3.64                           | 3.62                          | 3.50                      |
| Median             | 3.71                          | 3.22                           | 3.51                          | 3.72                           | 3.68                          | 3.56                      |
| Mode               | 4.00                          | 3.20                           | 4.47                          | 4.07                           | 4.25                          | 3.21                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.71                          | 0.76                           | 0.90                          | 0.77                           | 0.72                          | 0.69                      |
| Variance           | 0.50                          | 0.58                           | 0.81                          | 0.59                           | 0.52                          | 0.48                      |
| Kurtosis           | 2.58                          | 2.50                           | 2.36                          | 3.33                           | 2.73                          | 2.44                      |
| Skewness           | -0.43                         | 0.03                           | -0.43                         | -0.61                          | -0.45                         | -0.24                     |
| Range              | 3.20                          | 3.74                           | 4.01                          | 4.40                           | 3.55                          | 3.10                      |
| Minimum            | 1.73                          | 1.19                           | 0.97                          | 0.60                           | 1.39                          | 1.80                      |
| Maximum            | 4.93                          | 4.93                           | 4.98                          | 5.00                           | 4.94                          | 4.90                      |
| Total Samples      | 374                           | 374                            | 374                           | 374                            | 374                           | 374                       |

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in EEE

|                    | <i>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Cumulative<br/>GPA</i> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 4.03                          | 3.49                           | 3.60                          | 3.54                           | 3.58                          | 3.66                      |
| Median             | 4.11                          | 3.48                           | 3.73                          | 3.57                           | 3.64                          | 3.71                      |
| Mode               | 4.13                          | 3.22                           | 3.96                          | 3.48                           | 4.00                          | 3.28                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.56                          | 0.73                           | 0.83                          | 0.76                           | 0.74                          | 0.66                      |
| Variance           | 0.31                          | 0.54                           | 0.69                          | 0.58                           | 0.55                          | 0.43                      |
| Kurtosis           | 3.07                          | 2.50                           | 2.56                          | 2.59                           | 2.49                          | 2.43                      |
| Skewness           | -0.61                         | -0.17                          | -0.55                         | -0.38                          | -0.32                         | -0.29                     |
| Range              | 3.23                          | 3.56                           | 3.95                          | 3.69                           | 3.58                          | 3.05                      |
| Minimum            | 1.71                          | 1.34                           | 1.05                          | 1.31                           | 1.42                          | 1.83                      |
| Maximum            | 4.94                          | 4.90                           | 5.00                          | 5.00                           | 5.00                          | 4.88                      |
| Total Samples      | 407                           | 407                            | 407                           | 407                            | 407                           | 407                       |

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in ICE

|                    | <i>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Cumulative<br/>GPA</i> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 3.56                          | 3.18                           | 3.30                          | 3.58                           | 3.74                          | 3.47                      |
| Median             | 3.55                          | 3.18                           | 3.36                          | 3.62                           | 3.82                          | 3.51                      |
| Mode               | 3.49                          | 3.06                           | 3.02                          | 3.52                           | 4.00                          | 3.51                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.69                          | 0.76                           | 0.88                          | 0.73                           | 0.71                          | 0.68                      |
| Variance           | 0.48                          | 0.57                           | 0.77                          | 0.54                           | 0.50                          | 0.46                      |
| Kurtosis           | 2.57                          | 2.42                           | 2.32                          | 2.66                           | 2.72                          | 2.44                      |
| Skewness           | -0.33                         | 0.06                           | -0.24                         | -0.40                          | -0.48                         | -0.16                     |
| Range              | 3.32                          | 3.49                           | 3.89                          | 3.49                           | 3.23                          | 3.09                      |
| Minimum            | 1.64                          | 1.39                           | 1.09                          | 1.51                           | 1.75                          | 1.80                      |
| Maximum            | 4.96                          | 4.88                           | 4.98                          | 5.00                           | 4.98                          | 4.89                      |
| Total Samples      | 349                           | 349                            | 349                           | 349                            | 349                           | 349                       |

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in MEE

|                    | <i>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Cumulative<br/>GPA</i> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 3.92                          | 3.33                           | 3.13                          | 3.60                           | 3.78                          | 3.54                      |
| Median             | 4.00                          | 3.32                           | 3.04                          | 3.73                           | 3.96                          | 3.57                      |
| Mode               | 4.00                          | 3.69                           | 3.13                          | 4.55                           | 4.30                          | 3.95                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.60                          | 0.72                           | 0.87                          | 0.76                           | 0.73                          | 0.66                      |
| Variance           | 0.36                          | 0.52                           | 0.76                          | 0.58                           | 0.54                          | 0.43                      |
| Kurtosis           | 3.12                          | 2.19                           | 2.06                          | 2.74                           | 2.70                          | 2.25                      |
| Skewness           | -0.69                         | 0.03                           | 0.05                          | -0.57                          | -0.67                         | -0.14                     |
| Range              | 2.67                          | 3.32                           | 3.58                          | 3.72                           | 3.25                          | 2.89                      |
| Minimum            | 2.20                          | 1.55                           | 1.40                          | 1.25                           | 1.73                          | 1.99                      |
| Maximum            | 4.87                          | 4.87                           | 4.98                          | 4.97                           | 4.98                          | 4.88                      |
| Total Samples      | 166                           | 166                            | 166                           | 166                            | 166                           | 166                       |

Table 7. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Performances of Undergraduates in PET

|                    | <i>First<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Second<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Third<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fourth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Fifth<br/>Year<br/>GPA</i> | <i>Cumulative<br/>GPA</i> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean               | 3.86                          | 3.24                           | 3.32                          | 3.54                           | 3.71                          | 3.54                      |
| Median             | 3.91                          | 3.18                           | 3.33                          | 3.54                           | 3.75                          | 3.56                      |
| Mode               | 3.78                          | 2.48                           | 3.74                          | 3.61                           | 3.20                          | 3.83                      |
| Standard Deviation | 0.62                          | 0.71                           | 0.73                          | 0.69                           | 0.65                          | 0.59                      |
| Variance           | 0.38                          | 0.50                           | 0.54                          | 0.48                           | 0.42                          | 0.35                      |
| Kurtosis           | 3.83                          | 2.54                           | 2.46                          | 2.67                           | 2.39                          | 2.43                      |
| Skewness           | -0.88                         | -0.04                          | -0.15                         | -0.03                          | -0.18                         | -0.01                     |
| Range              | 3.29                          | 3.74                           | 3.64                          | 3.55                           | 2.83                          | 2.73                      |
| Minimum            | 1.64                          | 1.22                           | 1.18                          | 1.45                           | 2.13                          | 2.07                      |
| Maximum            | 4.93                          | 4.96                           | 4.82                          | 5.00                           | 4.95                          | 4.80                      |
| Total Samples      | 195                           | 195                            | 195                           | 195                            | 195                           | 195                       |

The academic performances of engineering undergraduates vary as the students proceed from one level to another yearly. Figure 1 shows the variations in the GPA data of all the engineering undergraduates under investigation. Figures 2-8 illustrate the differences and trends in the GPA data of undergraduates in CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET respectively. The frequency distributions of the GPA data of undergraduates in CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET are shown in Figures 9-15 respectively. Figures 16-18 depict the proportions of engineering students that graduated with First Class, Second Class Upper, Second Class Lower, and Third Class in CHE, CVE, CEN, and EEE; ICE and MEE; and PET respectively.




Figure 1. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in the Seven Engineering Programs (2002-2014)




Figure 2. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CHE (2002-2014)




Figure 3. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CVE (2002-2014)




Figure 4. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CEN (2002-2014)






Figure 5. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in EEE (2002-2014)




Figure 6. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in ICE (2002-2014)




Figure 7. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in MEE (2002-2014)




Figure 8. Boxplot of GPA Data of Undergraduates in PET (2002-2014)




Figure 9. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CHE




Figure 10. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CVE




Figure 11. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in CEN




Figure 12. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in EEE




Figure 13. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in ICE




Figure 14. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in MEE




Figure 15. Histogram Distributions of GPA Data of Undergraduates in PET




Figure 16. Proportions of Class of Degree in CHE, CVE, CEN, and EEE




Figure 17. Proportions of Class of Degree in ICE and MEE




Figure 18. Proportions of Class of Degree in PET

### Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and Academic Affairs. In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the academic performance data are presented in tables and graphs for easy data interpretations. In addition, one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparison post-hoc tests are performed to determine whether the variations in the academic performances are significant across the seven engineering programs. Data showing whether there are significant differences in the GPA data of the engineering undergraduates throughout their five-year study period are presented in Tables 8-13. The boxplots of the GPA distribution by program are shown in Figures 19-24. The results of the post-hoc test conducted to understand the extent of significant variations in

cumulative GPA across engineering Programs at Covenant University are presented in Table 14. Multiple comparison plots of Cumulative GPA data in Figures 25-31 reveal groups (i.e. other engineering programs at Covenant University) whose statistical means are significantly different.

Table 8. ANOVA Test on First Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                 |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Columns             | 69.15          | 6                 | 11.52        | 28.95       | $2.99 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| Error               | 730.21         | 1834              | 0.40         |             |                        |
| Total               | 799.36         | 1840              |              |             |                        |




Figure 19. First Year GPA Data of all Engineering Programs

Table 9. ANOVA Test on Second Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                 |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Columns             | 34.02          | 6                 | 5.67         | 10.58       | $1.43 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Error               | 983.13         | 1834              | 0.54         |             |                        |
| Total               | 1017.15        | 1840              |              |             |                        |






Figure 20. Second Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

Table 10. ANOVA Test on Third Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Columns             | 36.48          | 6                 | 6.08         | 8.55        | $3.47 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Error               | 1304.02        | 1834              | 0.71         |             |                       |
| Total               | 1340.51        | 1840              |              |             |                       |




Figure 21. Third Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

Table 11. ANOVA Test on Fourth Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Columns             | 12.99          | 6                 | 2.16         | 3.83        | $8.53 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Error               | 1037.83        | 1834              | 0.57         |             |                       |
| Total               | 1050.82        | 1840              |              |             |                       |




Figure 22. Fourth Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

Table 12. ANOVA Test on Fifth Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Columns             | 17.80          | 6                 | 2.97         | 5.87        | $4.44 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Error               | 926.63         | 1834              | 0.51         |             |                       |
| Total               | 944.43         | 1840              |              |             |                       |




Figure 23. Fifth Year GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

Table 13. ANOVA Test on Cumulative GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Squares | F Statistic | Prob>F                |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Columns             | 12.13          | 6                 | 2.02         | 4.70        | $9.39 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Error               | 789.25         | 1834              | 0.43         |             |                       |
| Total               | 801.38         | 1840              |              |             |                       |




Figure 24. Cumulative GPA Data of Engineering Programs at Covenant University

Table 14. Post-Hoc Test on Cumulative GPA for Engineering Programs at Covenant University

| Groups Compared |     | Lower limits for 95% confidence intervals | Mean Difference | Upper limits for 95% confidence intervals | p-value |
|-----------------|-----|---|-----------------|---|---------|
| CHE             | CVE | -0.0469                                   | 0.1617          | 0.3703                                    | 0.2507  |
| CHE             | CEN | 0.0331                                    | 0.2031          | 0.3731                                    | 0.0078  |
| CHE             | EEE | -0.1222                                   | 0.0453          | 0.2129                                    | 0.9853  |
| CHE             | ICE | 0.0590                                    | 0.2310          | 0.4031                                    | 0.0015  |
| CHE             | MEE | -0.0450                                   | 0.1585          | 0.3621                                    | 0.2455  |
| CHE             | PET | -0.0333                                   | 0.1618          | 0.3570                                    | 0.1798  |
| CVE             | CEN | -0.1447                                   | 0.0414          | 0.2274                                    | 0.9948  |
| CVE             | EEE | -0.3002                                   | -0.1164         | 0.0675                                    | 0.5029  |
| CVE             | ICE | -0.1186                                   | 0.0693          | 0.2573                                    | 0.9321  |
| CVE             | MEE | -0.2203                                   | -0.0032         | 0.2139                                    | 1.0000  |
| CVE             | PET | -0.2091                                   | 0.0001          | 0.2094                                    | 1.0000  |
| CEN             | EEE | -0.2963                                   | -0.1577         | -0.0192                                   | 0.0139  |
| CEN             | ICE | -0.1160                                   | 0.0280          | 0.1719                                    | 0.9976  |
| CEN             | MEE | -0.2249                                   | -0.0445         | 0.1358                                    | 0.9909  |
| CEN             | PET | -0.2121                                   | -0.0412         | 0.1296                                    | 0.9919  |
| EEE             | ICE | 0.0446                                    | 0.1857          | 0.3268                                    | 0.0020  |
| EEE             | MEE | -0.0649                                   | 0.1132          | 0.2913                                    | 0.4979  |
| EEE             | PET | -0.0520                                   | 0.1165          | 0.2849                                    | 0.3898  |
| ICE             | MEE | -0.2549                                   | -0.0725         | 0.1099                                    | 0.9047  |
| ICE             | PET | -0.2421                                   | -0.0692         | 0.1037                                    | 0.9020  |
| MEE             | PET | -0.2009                                   | 0.0033          | 0.2076                                    | 1.0000  |




Figure 25. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for CHE




Figure 26. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for CVE




Figure 27. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for CEN




Figure 28. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for EEE




Figure 29. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for ICE




Figure 30. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for MEE




Figure 31. Multiple Comparison Test on Cumulative GPA for PET

### Acknowledgements

This work is carried out under the *SmartCU* and Covenant University Data Analytics Center (*CUDAC*) Research Clusters. This research is fully sponsored by Covenant University Centre for Research, Innovation and Development (*CUCRID*), Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria.

### References

- [1] V. Protonotarios, G. Stoitsis, K. Kastrantas, and S. Sanchez-Alonso, "Using multilingual analytics to explore the usage of a learning portal in developing countries," *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Network*, vol. 17, pp. 101-118, 2013.
- [2] R. Ferguson, A. Cooper, H. Drachsler, G. Kismihók, A. Boyer, K. Tammets, *et al.*, "Learning analytics: European perspectives," in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2015, pp. 69-72.
- [3] C. Gavan, "Developing a framework for the effective use of learning analytics: A UK perspective," in *Student Engagement and Participation: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*. vol. 1, ed, 2017, pp. 369-398.
- [4] J. Halliday and M. Anderson, "Developing a framework for the visualisation of learning analytics in UK higher education," in *Decision Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*. vol. 1-4, ed, 2017, pp. 249-250.
- [5] T. Johnston, "Population, Education and Sustainable Development," *African Development Review*, vol. 4, pp. 201-235, 1992.
- [6] A. Nicolas, K. Radja, and P. Schembri, "Which education for a sustainable development in developing countries? An approach by competencies," *Mondes en Développement*, vol. 37, pp. 29-44, 2009.
- [7] A. Roy, P. Kihoza, J. Suhonen, and M. Vesisenaho, "Promoting education for sustainable development by using ICT enhanced problem based learning in a developing country," in *Proceedings - 2012 IEEE 4th International Conference on Technology for Education, T4E 2012*, 2012, pp. 98-104.



- [8] S. Munoz-Hernandez, "Looking for sustainable software for education in developing countries," in *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON*, 2014, pp. 1108-1111.
- [9] W. A. Segura, "Education and sustainable development. The challenge for developing countries to change paradigms," in *IMSCI 2016 - 10th International Multi-Conference on Society, Cybernetics and Informatics, Proceedings*, 2016, pp. 194-199.
- [10] T. P. Nguyen, "Education for Sustainable Development in Vietnam: exploring the geography teachers' perspectives," *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, pp. 1-16, 2017.
- [11] D. Gibson and J. Clarke-Midura, "Some psychometric and design implications of game-based learning analytics," in *IADIS International Conference on Cognition and Exploratory Learning in Digital Age, CELDA 2013*, 2013, pp. 201-208.
- [12] D. Gasevic, A. Wolff, C. Rose, Z. Zdrahal, and G. Siemens, "Learning analytics and machine learning," in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2014, pp. 287-288.
- [13] D. Gibson and S. De Freitas, "Exploratory learning analytics methods from three case studies," in *Proceedings of ASCILITE 2014 - Annual Conference of the Australian Society for Computers in Tertiary Education*, 2014, pp. 383-388.
- [14] J. Zheng and A. Dagnino, "An initial study of predictive machine learning analytics on large volumes of historical data for power system applications," in *Proceedings - 2014 IEEE International Conference on Big Data, IEEE Big Data 2014*, 2014, pp. 952-959.
- [15] N. Brouwer, B. Bredeweg, S. Latour, A. Berg, and G. van der Huizen, "Learning analytics pilot with coach2 - Searching for effective mirroring," in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)* vol. 9891 LNCS, ed, 2016, pp. 363-369.
- [16] D. Petkovic, "Using Learning Analytics to Assess Capstone Project Teams," *Computer*, vol. 49, pp. 80-83, 2016.
- [17] J. Gardner and C. Brooks, "Statistical approaches to the model comparison task in learning analytics," in *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2017.
- [18] M. J. Junokas, G. Kohlburn, S. Kumar, B. Lane, W. T. Fu, and R. Lindgren, "Using one-shot machine learning to implement real-time multimodal learning analytics," in *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2017, pp. 89-93.
- [19] C. K. Ayo, J. A. Odukoya, and A. Azeta, "A review of open & distance education and human development in Nigeria," *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, vol. 9, pp. 63-67, 2014.
- [20] J. A. Odukoya, O. Adekeye, A. O. Igbino, and A. Afolabi, "Item analysis of university-wide multiple choice objective examinations: the experience of a Nigerian private university," *Quality and Quantity*, pp. 1-15, 2017.
- [21] J. A. Odukoya, O. Adekeye, and O. Okunlola, "Assessing the effectiveness of mobile learning devices in tertiary institutions: The experience of undergraduates in a Nigerian Private University," *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, vol. 11, pp. 160-169, 2017.